

Jeff Fenton

From: Jeff Fenton
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2019 12:56 PM
To: Virginia Story
Subject: Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

Good Morning Ms. Story,

I'm writing to clear up a little confusion regarding my crossing the Cincinnati Bridge, as well as to request your agreement on a couple of matters, so that I can move to Michigan (out by noon on the third, as requested). Otherwise, I will need to find an apartment here locally, as I am not able to move yet, under the current orders, as I understand my options currently.

My tremendous fear of heights has to do with me crossing the Cincinnati Bridge at all, regardless of what sort of vehicle I'm traveling in or driving. (The vehicle being a U-Haul has zero relevance, sorry if I confused you about that point.) Even when Ms. Fenton has driven me (or anyone else for that matter) over the Cincinnati bridge (and lots of tall or long bridges around the country, Cincinnati merely being the obstacle between here and Michigan), it's highly unnerving and almost unsafe, for everyone in the vehicle. So either I need to take up residence somewhere else here in Nashville temporarily, until the house is sold (obviously outside the marital residence now), for some reason. In which case I will need my bed and a minimum amount of my furniture, my food, toiletries, etc... plus the funds for housing and to temporarily sustain me, needing some sort of interim support until the divorce is finalized, or I need to be allowed to take my stuff, including that which both Fawn and I have agreed is my furniture and my personal property (much of which I owned even before I ever met Fawn), which we already divided (as evidenced in Fawn's divorce complaint), so that I can drive to Michigan once, and I'll never need to return to Tennessee. I'm only going over that bridge once (and I still don't know how I will accomplish that, but that's my problem). But I don't expect to ever return to Nashville after that. Later in life I may change my mind, but I doubt that will be within my mother's lifetime (another 5 - 10 years, probably).

You made a statement in court yesterday, that I'm "dissipating marital property", **but that is simply not true.** Though you may argue over the television, and maybe even the dehumidifier, my mother has loaned me \$20,000 to support me through this season, as well as to pay for my attorneys to date, all which I have mountains of proof (like I brought yesterday), in addition to audio and video records, where Fawn promised that she would pay for both. My lodging, food, utilities, and legal fees. The only reason why Fawn was understandably unable to pay interim support before, was because she was paying the mortgage payments for our home, as well as for her apartment.

The only reason that I'm returning to Michigan is for free temporary lodging with my mother. I otherwise have no desire to be stuck in Michigan. The economy is horrible, the weather is horrible, it will doom me to a far less advantageous future, than right where I currently am. In the previous judgment, the judge said that I could pay for my moving expenses, storage, and temporary support out of my share of the sales proceeds. Seeing however that won't be for another month or so, and I am completely broke as of now, since my roommates have been evicted (which is really why I discontinued my legal counsel with Marty & Mitchell), just as you, I really do like them both, and Mitchell was someone I had met months earlier, when he was between firms, and he is just a good all-around guy, who shoots straight and really cares (which is uncommon these days). Regretfully, once the house was gone, with Fawn being bankrupt, it made no fiscal sense to keep going tens-of-thousands of dollars in debt to retain them. I'm not representing myself because I want to, or because no-one will work with me. Attorneys are lined up all over town to take my money, and most of them will lie to me about it only costing a few thousand dollars, or just a few thousand dollars down and charging the rest to the proceeds from the sale of our home, etc... if my mom had the money to continue to loan me, and if I was willing to be so selfish as to keep borrowing it from her, but I won't.

According to the Tennessee laws, I'm supposed to be legally entitled to fair and equal treatment regardless. Even if I'm poor and I can't afford an attorney. We are not debating the deep matters of the law, here. The Judge kept insisting that I needed to know the law, but we weren't talking about the law, but rather whose testimony he listened to, considered, and believed. There was no legal code which we were arguing over. I understand what fair is, so does Ms. Fenton, surely you do as well, even if you want to keep back as much value for Ms. Fenton as possible. In Ms. Fenton's divorce complaint, she stated directly therein, that we have no marital property other than the house. She further stated that all our personal property has already been divided with the exception of a very few things. Of which the TV was the only item which I sold prior to you being hired by Ms. Fenton. **So the TV is the only thing which you could even consider charging me for, out of my portion of our home.**

We still haven't even spoken about debts yet, and there are plenty of marital debts in my name, which Fawn is trying to avoid bringing up. One of the marital debts charged on my credit cards was the dehumidifier purchase which was \$2,100 (like I told you), which we purchased with some duct work and extra crap which we never installed or used. The dehumidifier itself was the only part which we ever used, which later I can try to find a photo to prove to you. But again, this dehumidifier was 100% charged onto my credit (AMEX), where the outstanding balance remains.

To be fair, I expect that a lot of the information which you received yesterday was "new news" to you. I believe that at this point Fawn and her family have Fawn so convinced that she is the "victim" in this marriage/divorce, that I honestly don't believe that she could tell the whole honest story, even if she had to. I'm not trying to stretch this out, make it messier, or air any unnecessary dirty laundry. At this point, I want this over more than anything. But I just can't allow my character to be assassinated, or to be granted less consideration in this divorce than Fawn is (during hearings, to consider my testimony, in financial awards of equity, or in alimony thereafter, to start rebuilding my life). This is not at all a threat, but just so that you can understand what else Fawn may not be telling you, the evidence which I brought into court yesterday, is probably 1/100th of the evidence which I have, to simply prove that my narrative is the TRUTH. (Nowhere do I try to blame Fawn more than I blame myself. In my narrative, there are no victims. We are both broken, and we both made horrible mistakes, which in the end, regretfully cost us both our marriage, our wealth, our home, and our retirement.) I can't believe that is a narrative which any group of my peers would not also find convincing, because it is the honest truth. The truth doesn't have me being a wonderful guy, as much as I'd like that to be the story, it's just not. However, the truth never had me being a horrible abuser either, because if that was the truth, Fawn would have left long ago, or her family would have medevacked her out of our marriage, and I'd still have my own duplex, a home which I could always afford on my own. At the same time, Fawn was no Cinderella or Snow White. We were/are both stained, by our own personal challenges, by previous relationships, and previous divorces. (For example, Fawn is still in love with her first husband, and heartbroken that he left her. Fawn was never able to love me nearly as much as she did Kris. A portion of Fawn's heart, which Kris owned, was never accessible to me.)

Since you made the judge mad at me by convincing him that I'm liquidating our "marital assets", which is not true. The only thing which Fawn even arguably has any right to is about 1/3 of the TV, while by the way, \$5k of my cash, from my duplex rents, is in the equity of her car, along with its \$2500 extended repair contract, which holds its (redeemable) cash value until used – I'm sure that she left that little part out with you, as I also noticed that she failed to report it to the bankruptcy court. Altogether, Fawn only reported about half her personal property, and its value, to the bankruptcy court. (Which I can also prove.) So, because of that misleading statement, the judge has frozen all my personal property here, and I'm not leaving town without my personal property. I can't. Plus Ms. Fenton agreed with me before and again during your divorce filing that it is mine to do with as I please. (The same as the \$5k gun vault, the weight bench, and the \$1.2k treadmill.) If you want to start calling all of my personal property "**marital property**" now, just because you've arranged for an auctioneer to liquidate it all, to give my share of our personal property to Fawn, then we also need to empty out Fawn's storage unit, and bring back all her furniture, and put it all back inside this home, for one big sale, and then split the proceeds equally. Regardless of what Judge Binkley said, who already hated me, before he ever met me, thanks to the preemptive attacks by your office.

If we can't reach a reasonable agreement, where I can move, then I have no choice but to petition for a "change of venue" and do whatever I need to have this case taken away from Judge Binkley and the Williamson County Judicial System. Otherwise, we'll spend years in the appellate courts afterwards, for another \$800.00 filing fee... I really want to go on with

my life, but I can't go while you hold all my personal property hostage. I promise you that Fawn would never leave town without her personal property either.

The biggest thing that Fawn wants at any cost, is for me and my stuff to be out of this house and out of this state, forever. I am trying my best to give her that wish, but only if you (Ms. Story) agree to allow me to take with me my personal property, while telling the Judge that it was by mutual agreement, not an act of rebellion or contempt, which I should be punished for. Otherwise, I simply cannot leave town. I will move out of our home, as I've been ordered. But then I'll need to have my mom fly down, and since I can't come near my property, I'll need to teach my mom how to use the video camera to monitor everything which is being done to my home and with my property. Then you'll see my 75-year-old mom on the evening news, replaying the court recording, as I'm being threatened with jail and denied my legal and previously agreed right to take my personal property with me, (so you can sell it and give Fawn half, without selling half of Fawn's personal property and giving me 50% of those proceeds.) This is so far from fair, I have no doubt that the local media will rally around me, if that is what you and Judge Binkley force, simply because I have no counsel to protect me. This is ludicrous! I've got some nice video clips for the media, to show Fawn lying to the police and having them surround my home, as she threatened to kick down our \$1,000 door, to get five fish, which I had already volunteered to give her.

This can become as big of a circus as you all choose to make it, but I'm not going to be the person who just continues to get abused and taken advantage of, because of the financial and legal leverage which Fawn and your firm has. The one thing which trumps you all, is public opinion, and that is my court of choice. Where I can afford to tell the whole story and let the "winner" be **due to the merits of their case**, rather than if they can afford counsel, who their counsel is, who the judge is, and who is buddies with whom.

I'm not trying to make this difficult. I want to leave, regardless of what it costs me. I will meet your August third at noon deadline, with or without my personal property (like my bed). But I cannot afford to have you put my personal property into storage and then ship it to Michigan later, deducting the funds to do so, from my share of the sale proceeds. That would cost me an additional \$5k. Unless Fawn offers to pay it, out of her sale proceeds. I must urgently repay my mother the \$21k, which I've recently borrowed from her to simply survive and pay counsel in this worthless legal battle. Additionally, all my retirement was invested into this home, I need as much of that back as possible to have any chance, without a massive financial settlement from Fawn. So, I have no choice but to be frugal with my 50% of my equity remaining, after by court order, you liquidate my home by selling it for a fraction of what it is worth, by public auction with no minimums. I can't even imagine someone overreaching more, or oppressing another, more completely and violently, simply because **they can get away with it**.

My move to Michigan alone will cost me nearly \$3k, which I'm not asking Fawn to pay for, if I can just have permission to hurry my butt off and take what I can now to Michigan and allow the auctioneer to sell the rest in the auction. Either I'm not leaving town, or once I leave, I'm not returning. I need to know today (as time is extremely limited), before I am forced to reach-out to local agencies, politicians, legislatures, the governor, and media outlets to help protect my fair and equal interests here.

Strangely, in every other person whom I've witnessed speaking with Chancellor Binkley so far, I've really liked to guy. He is kind, he goes out of his way to encourage people, and build them up, I am the only person, whom I believe because of his bias caused by your four legal filings before ever meeting me, treats me **like I'm Hannibal Lecter**. I must do everything within my legal power, to have the venue changed, to have our divorce moved out of the Williamson County Court system, or I am absolutely doomed. (Headed to jail, with never an arrest in my life, all because of the falsified testimony of others, which I can prove definitively via writing, via videos, via audio recordings, and via court transcriptions now.)

Honestly, if you have had a chance to read all of my counter-motion yesterday, which I recommend, because even though Judge Binkley refused to hear it yesterday, now that I've already done the preparation work, I will bring it back as several separate motions, or an individual lawsuit for **"harassment by legal process/way of the courts"**, etc... depending upon what is recommended to me. Possibly at the State or Federal levels, since I'm moving to Michigan. In my narrative, as long winded as it was (and I know that I tend to repeat myself... I'm far from perfect, and have never trained in law), I by no means tried to blame everything on Ms. Fenton, like she did me. I was honest, which I believe that both you and any

reasonable person can tell, because I admit my share of wrongs equally with Ms. Fenton. I'm not by any means trying to attack, harm, or crucify her. If anything, I'm trying to help save her from herself. Which I have tried and failed at for the past year and a half. Up until right before she retained you, I told Fawn that I was trying to save the home for her to return to someday, and that she is always welcome to come home. After menopause, when she wants to again return to what was once her dream home originally, and I would rebuild the entire bonus room (at my expense) to be her bedroom of her dreams, however she chose to architecturally design it. Yeah, that might sound ridiculous to you now, but the day will come when she will regret this loss, even though she is dead-set to fight with her last breath, right at the moment. I know her better than you, and once Fawn realizes the hollow victory of losing everything, and being all alone in life again, after a few years, this choice of hers will really break her own heart. I was trying to hold on to our home for my sake, but there was never a day when I wasn't trying to defeat her in her fight today, to give her back what she has lost later in life, when her heart longs for it once again. Not that she would ever want to be my husband again, but a day will come when being roommates won't sound so intolerable, with common passions like this property, and little critters, with 2500 SqFt and only two occupants, especially considering all the money which we invested into this home, with the best HVAC we'll ever experience, designed especially for her asthma, and so much more....

Ms. Fenton and I already understand what the legal range of expectations are per Tennessee laws and local divorce precedence's. I know that I was running late like crazy yesterday just to pull myself and all my documentation together to provide to the court, probably covering way more ground than I should have attempted at one time, but you opened up each of those issues within your motion, and I feel that my character has been horribly, grievously and fraudulently represented to the court so far.

As your divorce complaint alleged, all marital property (personal property) except for a few items, was divided by Fawn and I prior to filing for the divorce. The **only exceptions** being the items on **your list**, and the few which Fawn wanted **from your walk-through**.

As I explained in great detail to you, the TV was over half mine, regardless of who purchased it, and I paid for a good deal of it. Fawn's brother's investment in the TV itself was limited to \$1,000, as I reimbursed him the remainder in cash. Fawn's mother contributed the \$300 for my Christmas present. And again, this was sold at a time when I required the money to eat, as I had no other means of survival. Ms. Fenton has gotten away with doing plenty of crooked things, such as taking me off credit cards, cancelling my ATM cards, reducing credit card limits, largely by timing it so that those things did not occur while we were under the restraining order. Well, this also happened while we had no pending litigation in the courts, toward the start of this year, while we were under no restraining order. I did on more than one occasion inform Ms. Fenton that I was selling some of my stuff to simply survive. There is absolutely nothing illegal about that, regardless of how you presented it to the Judge, who already doesn't like me due to the vast volume of false narrative which he has accepted from you and Ms. Fenton as the truth.

I know a lot of people in Williamson County, who fortunately can afford to pay attorneys to protect their interests and can hopefully win. But those same people would be outraged to have been in my shoes yesterday, under threat of incarceration, being told by the courts, what Judge Binkley told me yesterday.

I know that you can "slam dunk" this purely based upon your relationships with the elite social circle of the Williamson County judicial system. Michael Greenwell, my barber of 20 years, told me to hire you a year ago, because he told me repeatedly that you are "very well connected in Williamson County", which he repeated a few times for emphasis, using air quotes for the "very well connected" portion. (Apparently you got Michael off for a DUI, many years back.) At the time, I wasn't willing to borrow money from my mother, and I believed that I could get a fair trial in Williamson County without the need for legal counsel, which is Tennessee law, though we both saw how well that works. Then I forgot your name and life went on, **as Fawn promised me not to hire legal counsel again**, agreeing to work it out fairly between ourselves. That was until the day when I received your notice in my mailbox, and something about the return address label looked familiar to me, but I couldn't quite figure it out. Then after reading Fawn's latest complaint for a divorce, at the bottom, where your signature was, I remembered your name, and what Michael had told me, knowing that I was SOL now. I called Michael to verify that I had the name correct, to which he confirmed, and I knew that I had to borrow the money from my mom to hire attorneys this time.

There goes the “Justice for All” initiative. Everybody knows that Nashville is a “good old boys” town. Apparently in Williamson County, relationships have even more influence.

So do I need to call the Red Cross, the ACLU, the governor, local legislatures, the Chanel 4-iTeam, and find a place to relocate to here locally (across Old Hickory Blvd.), or can you please agree (and explain was agreed to the Judge) to allow me to take my personal property with me to move to Michigan, and agree to facilitate the remainder of our divorce, either via mail, email, over the phone, or via video conference? Without requiring me to ever return to Nashville, or petition for a “change of venue”, to receive some semblance of a fair outcome, for all my life’s labors?

Please let me know quickly. If you will agree, I will borrow money from my mom to hire help to vacate the premises by your current deadline, of noon on 9/3. But I need to please know today if I have any reasonable chance to secure the help over the weekend, and move out on this tight of a timeline.

If not, please let me know if I can at least take my bedroom furniture, so that I can find a place to rent here locally, for the next 6 months to a year. I’ll need to petition the court for support, but I’ll need to petition for a change of venue first, to have even the slightest chance at fair and equitable consideration.

Your speedy reply would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks.

Jeff Fenton
METICULOUS.TECH

(615) 837-1300 Office

(615) 837-1301 Mobile

(615) 837-1302 Fax

Technical Consulting, Services, and Solutions, When it's worth doing RIGHT the first time!

Submit or respond to a support ticket [here](#). A Division of Meticulous Marketing LLC

Delivered: Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

postmaster@tnlaw.org <postmaster@tnlaw.org>

Fri 8/30/2019 12:55 PM

To: Virginia Story <virginia@tnlaw.org>

 1 attachments (29 KB)

Moving to Michigan or Nashville? ;

Your message has been delivered to the following recipients:

[Virginia Story \(virginia@tnlaw.org\)](mailto:virginia@tnlaw.org)

Subject: Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

postmaster@tnlaw.org

To:Jeff Fenton

Fri 8/30/2019 12:55 PM

Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

Outlook Item

Your message has been delivered to the following recipients:

[Virginia Story \(virginia@tnlaw.org\)](mailto:virginia@tnlaw.org)

Subject: Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

Reply Forward

postmaster@outlook.com

Outlook Item

Message details

Received: from BN6PR10MB1329.namprd10.prod.outlook.com (2603:10b6:208:10c::39)

by MN2PR10MB3856.namprd10.prod.outlook.com with HTTPS via

MN2PR01CA0026.PROD.EXCHANGELABS.COM; Fri, 30 Aug 2019 16:55:52 +0000

ARC-Seal: i=2; a=rsa-sha256; s=arcselector9901; d=microsoft.com; cv=pass;

b=VwUwVWrvC57wmpP41Nk9JhN+pt0tAYLCu9DxP/EVtXB8JwGd/oShVSHbetlGxdsVcKlPPqGYOf/f+I1oyeBSjm4qYs85aEoqk3mmfWeGL1+PELMBIt6zRRm/4l/OfdUS3bamgQpMgQKOYBY4A2+pKR3w5hrj1qCOOKEg4WdXr5S2vXl/1MflKml3uuCkknW47iV2d/cnFtFNsBd7dvr0ffLHP+gGmvrUo6ttWQi/dlzkN0WnBMM3l7SUBBj31r1IMwepTOnF0/DS4UDvLNZKIBJBXyefbx6QPCS44ef8OJ9lI3dn3hRUqfXX1Wdb49fGOvu4fsZ/bQzcPhNA+WUZA==

ARC-Message-Signature: i=2; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=microsoft.com; s=arcselector9901;

h=From:Date:Subject:Message-ID:Content-Type:MIME-Version:X-MS-Exchange-SenderADCheck;

bh=np+dnnVNYMii28esuX7eT+2TKBgliO9tqkRpbhCpIM4=;

b=nONHckF2uj6kgM0VfAuYft3EcWeautTMbe8tTqW7+eu61YmTdu4ZZ2XJ1Vlfc7IFbqASEzIz0o0vUFI d00YSmic2frQ+alpeb2/FxMH21NSxcnxsjJQx2sx6msZI84SUbl/AtX5nyKGWxc9/1GCgXhEYRQPrqdcFz RVhI9B9RMk/JxedUAAtIld25lWX3Y7eN8KdYIbQP+CCUrQcbzoF8HqxPEKkT1AxOhSG1RKuvsm40tBng1 S5wQxX44tL4pTM52GKQO/7Ygk14pgAgAJ8CVTyUutFyqDv2egDrxreM44U4drEwJRSIYWNJ5PPIp379 W3q0NQC9w19y6at0fjI+w==

ARC-Authentication-Results: i=2; mx.microsoft.com 1; spf=pass (sender ip is 52.100.132.108) smtp.rcpttodomain=metulous.tech

smtp.helo=nam02-cy1-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com; dmarc=none

action=none header.from=tnlaw.org; dkim=pass (signature was verified)

header.d=storyabernathy.onmicrosoft.com; arc=pass (0 oda=1 ltdi=1

dkim=[1,1,header.d=tnlaw.org] dmarc=[1,1,header.from=tnlaw.org])

Received: from DM5PR10CA0002.namprd10.prod.outlook.com (2603:10b6:4:2::12) by

BN6PR10MB1329.namprd10.prod.outlook.com (2603:10b6:404:44::19) with Microsoft

SMTP Server (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384) id 15.20.2199.21; Fri, 30 Aug 2019 16:55:50 +0000

Received: from CO1NAM04FT040.eop-NAM04.prod.protection.outlook.com (2a01:111:f400:7e4d::200) by DM5PR10CA0002.outlook.office365.com (2603:10b6:4:2::12) with Microsoft SMTP Server (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA384) id 15.20.2220.16 via Frontend Transport; Fri, 30 Aug 2019 16:55:49 +0000

Authentication-Results: spf=pass (sender IP is 52.100.132.108)

smtp.helo=NAM02-CY1-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com; Meticulous.tech; dkim=pass (signature was verified)

header.d=StoryAbernathy.onmicrosoft.com;Meticulous.tech; dmarc=none

action=none header.from=tnlaw.org;compauth=pass reason=130

Received-SPF: Pass (protection.outlook.com: domain of NAM02-CY1-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com designates 52.100.132.108 as permitted sender) receiver=protection.outlook.com; client-ip=52.100.132.108; helo=NAM02-CY1-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com;

Received: from NAM02-CY1-obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com (52.100.132.108) by CO1NAM04FT040.mail.protection.outlook.com (10.152.91.23) with Microsoft SMTP Server (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA384) id 15.20.2220.16 via Frontend Transport; Fri, 30 Aug 2019 16:55:49 +0000

ARC-Seal: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; s=arcselector9901; d=microsoft.com; cv=none;

b=grXjec/Aiku6jzUSPa6bsEgAIR/CSlg+HAwLsgxLO36EkjEYVvBwAihqFqLqGTgSJGeetXdK8IT7pw21E WKi3k3U2r2+fHEu4/x/QALOVrvkJvh/dLAIswbJEnjf3e7PnhY3EkqBcvGLft6ejcjqjMPOAJjy5v/oNBbc8rS +YM7UoVmb1doCuOVSH4HrwSDZgN64Nz1EuwMFgLq9UBU1++GXuULcDmYAHiq/V9WIJNLato+fu Pfusc2z5IAb+2JiDpEBr6s8Qyzye0RB8JRj9M/v2Ddq0sdzW0JOQC0dhJSDUHDUr25ZPAieChenrJP4ULQ rITZMPg4xm+YdQsX0g==

ARC-Message-Signature: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=microsoft.com; s=arcselector9901;

h=From:Date:Subject:Message-ID:Content-Type:MIME-Version:X-MS-Exchange-SenderADCheck; bh=np+dnnVNYMii28esuX7eT+2TKBGliO9tqkRpbhcPIM4=;

b=bYVBo7KT6EaeWOSiI8oQAI2zm/htFoGRX0NKlvn1g1YXb59jyx6HAokQPYbdVZpkarokGKbrLtsb46c eGgFjp+1rcv3cN5/XfYmN1TTAZKbgzo3MGEefLTHJwpK6PWACTntbEZpiYwsKZkfuRLxOAapJd7fWAsK p6gpV100GUWqYV6h/Ap57qAay2nRndArbme8BRI8/8w+EayGK60qJIVW9+ABSv/mFH6WTVIQuBIWy TXby7ZOnriCipLS/AZWwulWP3GIZSFofBdUBvOaTy28Lv5YyDoRPhFuiPZdctCDLM9I5nJhU7JWWuKXnz cDi6UohF9MLHIU3PuhY2JsqQ==

ARC-Authentication-Results: i=1; mx.microsoft.com 1; spf=pass; dmarc=pass

action=none header.from=tnlaw.org; dkim=pass header.d=tnlaw.org; arc=none

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=StoryAbernathy.onmicrosoft.com; s=selector2-StoryAbernathy-onmicrosoft-com;

h=From:Date:Subject:Message-ID:Content-Type:MIME-Version:X-MS-Exchange-SenderADCheck; bh=np+dnnVNYMii28esuX7eT+2TKBGliO9tqkRpbhcPIM4=;

b=J9pj6D80FFxlqIMuCawUOtLax2L4HLe+6HH6vXAI1bT0Pdrzg2Z0bnG+KnevE/LszRVPcZXIh0isYfyiE+q

Fye3CkM+j0LmoKssDx9IVpCYmtK6WninxHie7dtZorlXixNA5jgpG9pq/MUCL/iXUyO7woc0ENxutjepR
WLERpBP0=

MIME-Version: 1.0

From: <postmaster@tnlaw.org>

To: <Jeff@Meticulous.tech>

Date: Fri, 30 Aug 2019 16:55:47 +0000

Content-Type: multipart/report; report-type=delivery-status;
boundary="5d5152ee-1d58-4c18-b8cf-73a7b41e5ee9"

Content-Language: en-US

Message-ID:

<b85c7079-daed-45fb-a08b-1db425a79118@BN6PR2201MB1297.namprd22.prod.outlook.com>

In-Reply-To:

<MN2PR10MB385631FAF7FB5C03E974C7E5C7BD0@MN2PR10MB3856.namprd10.prod.outlook.com
>

References:

<MN2PR10MB385631FAF7FB5C03E974C7E5C7BD0@MN2PR10MB3856.namprd10.prod.outlook.com
>

Thread-Topic: Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

Thread-Index: AdvfRL1EPV0WC+11RPW/F+JgXlzlxAADwf1V

Subject: Delivered: Moving to Michigan or Nashville?

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

X-MS-PublicTrafficType: Email

X-MS-TrafficTypeDiagnostic: BN6PR2201MB1297:|BN6PR10MB1329:

X-Microsoft-Antispam-PRVS:

<BN6PR2201MB1297606AF5A07EEF80377557B8BD0@BN6PR2201MB1297.namprd22.prod.outlook.c
om>

X-MS-Oob-TLC-OOBClassifiers: OLM:91;OLM:91;

X-Forefront-PRVS: 0145758B1D

X-Forefront-Antispam-Report-Untrusted:

SFV:NSPM;SFS:(10019020)(396003)(346002)(366004)(136003)(39840400004)(376002)(199004)(18900
3)(1930700014)(42186006)(76176011)(16586007)(486006)(102836004)(66574012)(2876002)(6694600
7)(81156014)(81166006)(66576008)(78496005)(8676002)(42882007)(6916009)(53546011)(450804000
02)(498600001)(11286001)(78352004)(1706002)(53376002)(74316002)(4743002)(71190400001)(5024
004)(476003)(19627235002)(11346002)(14444005)(446003)(316002)(16799955002)(2351001)(308640
03)(5660300002)(53936002)(31696002)(1476002)(2906002)(6346003)(53946003)(236005)(9686003)(6
306002)(52396003)(82146005)(33290500001)(562404015)(559001)(579004)(299355004);DIR:OUT;SFP:
1501;SCL:1;SRVR:BN6PR2201MB1297;H:;FPR:;SPF:None;LANG:en;PTR:InfoNoRecords;A:0;MX:0;

Received-SPF: None (protection.outlook.com: does not designate permitted
sender hosts)

Authentication-Results-Original: spf=none (sender IP is) smtp.mailfrom=<>;

X-MS-Exchange-SenderADCheck: 1

X-Microsoft-Antispam-Message-Info-Original:

phRkCjJEHiKTK/1j/CMRnq1nSdy8F1OCHTK20jlyfKZK5W8CD21W54Yw6FAPluaoqXdeEgbVp6aFu/nnwC/Xh5Gn5b3bus2t1iitvAsoAJzAw5/B09a3LvoJEN5eT9+f1VEKUIWx3/AsQrB7oLD19sy78Af9NYx4I3hbQN0ZS3cjIRTrnrygMBJP3R8xP7f2ZeTK157OWN2T8rzybwR3eAi4YM9kbFX4lrCVsKpi9rqGbSjPcF53/IKBSmfeBAzKd+yn1xakFHPO6D2lvGPzSuGg7AcFyHlkE7sIKMGms2AwM+HQWPGC7E1rPoxr2/T70NHZV/7s/HTa8opnhIDc+12yQK0Z9UL7yb5OQwaegn5vcRCp7tvZIAW8CbtN0OnyZZghbySY5T0HGxjPxxvFWjcl2C9JJvAyFxCrdtJd5jWwE=

X-MS-Exchange-Transport-CrossTenantHeadersStamped: BN6PR2201MB1297

Return-Path: <>

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationStartTime: 30 Aug 2019 16:55:49.4240 (UTC)

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationStartTimeReason: OriginalSubmit

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationInterval: 1:00:00:00.0000000

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationIntervalReason: OriginalSubmit

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-Network-Message-Id:

afce4f7b-3ddc-4d9a-0c33-08d72d6ae8f3

X-EOPAttributedMessage: 0

X-EOPTenantAttributedMessage: d953c009-4647-456e-8908-5a73ea376772:0

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-MessageDirectionality: Incoming

X-MS-Exchange-Transport-CrossTenantHeadersStripped:

CO1NAM04FT040.eop-NAM04.prod.protection.outlook.com

X-MS-Exchange-Transport-CrossTenantHeadersPromoted:

CO1NAM04FT040.eop-NAM04.prod.protection.outlook.com

X-Forefront-Antispam-Report:

CIP:52.100.132.108;IPV:NLI;CTRY:US;EFV:NLI;SFV:NSPM;SFS:(2970300002)(199004)(189003)(1930700014)(31696002)(356004)(30864003)(7636002)(53546011)(6506007)(66574012)(102836004)(5660300002)(58800400005)(6916009)(36736006)(8636004)(45080400002)(2876002)(78352004)(6436002)(1096003)(25786009)(19627235002)(53376002)(246002)(104766004)(6512007)(26005)(6306002)(9686003)(1476002)(53946003)(236005)(16586007)(42882007)(16003)(336012)(496002)(446003)(6486002)(16799955002)(4743002)(106002)(956004)(2351001)(11346002)(486006)(476003)(14444005)(126002)(74316002)(71190400001)(76176011)(5024004)(8676002)(82146005)(562404015)(33290500001)(579004)(559001)(299355004);DIR:INB;SFP:;SCL:1;SRVR:BN6PR10MB1329;H:NAM02-CY1-

obe.outbound.protection.outlook.com;FPR:;SPF:Pass;LANG:en;PTR:mail-

bgr052100132108.outbound.protection.outlook.com;A:0;MX:0;

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthSource:

CO1NAM04FT040.eop-NAM04.prod.protection.outlook.com

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthAs: Anonymous

X-MS-Office365-Filtering-Correlation-Id: afce4f7b-3ddc-4d9a-0c33-08d72d6ae8f3

X-Microsoft-Antispam:

BCL:0;PCL:0;RULEID:(2390118)(7020095)(4652040)(5600166)(711020)(4605104)(1124261)(1420029)(8001031)(1418099)(49563074)(71702078);SRVR:BN6PR10MB1329;

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: 1

X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-OriginalArrivalTime: 30 Aug 2019 16:55:49.2508 (UTC)

X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-Network-Message-Id: afce4f7b-3ddc-4d9a-0c33-08d72d6ae8f3

X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-Id: d953c009-4647-456e-8908-5a73ea376772

X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-FromEntityHeader: Internet

X-MS-Exchange-Transport-CrossTenantHeadersStamped: BN6PR10MB1329

X-MS-Exchange-Transport-EndToEndLatency: 00:00:03.4859205

X-MS-Exchange-Processed-By-BccFoldering: 15.20.2178.000

X-Microsoft-Antispam-Mailbox-Delivery:

ucf:1;jmr:0;ex:0;auth:0;dest:C;OFR:CustomRules;ENG:(20160514016)(750127)(520011016)(944506383)(944626516);

X-Microsoft-Antispam-Message-Info:

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