Jeff Fenton

From:	Jeff Fenton	
Sent:	Monday, September 23, 2019 3:08 AM	
То:	elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov	
Cc:	lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov	
Subject:	Miscommunication Yesterday in Court	
Attachments:	2019-08-29 COURT ORDER.pdf	

High

Ms. Beeler,

Importance:

Please forward this email to Chancellor Binkley. If he doesn't have email, then please print this out and deliver it to him. I'm not sure how your communications work at the court house, but I read somewhere in the code about directly communicating with Judges, even in an ex parte capacity when needed. However, since Ms. Story is copied on this email, this should not be considered an ex parte communication.

I'd simply prefer that Chancellor Binkley have an opportunity to read my words as written by me, before Ms. Story has a chance to twist them into an even more horribly offensive lie again.

Thank you very much mam!

Jeff Fenton Docket: #48419B

From: Jeff Fenton

Sent: Friday, August 30, 2019 2:48 PM To: Virginia Story <virginia@tnlaw.org>; Heidi Macy <Heidi@tnlaw.org>; Kathryn Yarbrough <kyarbrough@tnlaw.org> Cc: elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov Subject: Miscommunication Yesterday

Hello Ms. Story,

I just had the Clerk's and Master's Office send me a copy of the court order from yesterday.

Apparently there was a miscommunication somehow, between when you spoke about the TV and the Dehumidifier, where Chancellor Binkley understood your comments about me selling them to have occurred during the Restraining Order Statutory Injunction, which is not at all correct. This was months before.

It looks like that is what upset the Chancellor and caused him to change his ruling to forbid me from taking any of my personal property with me when I move.

As I don't believe that was what you were alleging, and I know that isn't what happened, how do we get this cleared up, so that I can take my personal property with me, so that I can move to Michigan, as planned?

This seems to all be about a simple misunderstanding, more so that favoritism, as I thought. I just couldn't rationalize any other reason for such drastic changes in the order.

How do we fix this quickly so I can leave?

I<mark>'ve done nothing against the Statutory Injunction at all.</mark> If anything, a little bit of money could arguably be kept from my final proceeds.

Please advise, I want to get packing, but I legally can't.

Thanks.

Jeff Fenton METICULOUS.TECH

(615) 837-1300 Office (615) 837-1301 Mobile (615) 837-1302 Fax

Technical Consulting, Services, and Solutions, When it's worth doing RIGHT the first time!

Submit or respond to a support ticket here.

A Division of Meticulous Marketing LLC

I repeatedly tried to give Binkley, Beeler, Story and Yarbrough the "benefit of the doubt", using professional, courteous, and even extremely kind words (under the circumstances), such as "miscommunication", "misunderstanding", and "error", but no matter how soft-spoken, gratuitous and/or forgiving I was, they simply refused to operate honestly in good faith, **at any cost**.

In the end, there is not one facet of this which I don't now believe was premeditated, at the very least, by Story and Yarbrough. While I wouldn't be surprised to learn that Binkley and Beeler were in on the entire scam from the very start, it would honestly make more sense.

They certainly were all involved in felony criminal misconduct, while enabling and covering up for each other, refusing to intervene or assist the injured party, mitigate damages, or report unconscionable criminal misconduct. While violating their oaths of office and the Tennessee Supreme Court's Rules of both Professional and Judicial Conduct, along with the judicial Canons and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The only facet which is even arguable in my mind, is how much Binkley and Beeler were **knowledgeable** about the **finite details** of the crimes and the players involved.

Whether Binkley & Beeler in fact **ran the scam(s)**, since they obviously **ran the court**, versus blindly backing each other's play, at any cost. Knowing it was fraud on the court, reasonably having criminal knowledge, intent, and participation, deliberately acting in bad faith, but without knowing (or caring) about the details, as a **routine** quid pro quo **practice** between friends.

Personally, I believe the answer is irrelevant, because both their actions and inactions, combined with the culture of that court, empowered the obscene criminal cruelties to take place and **thrive** without being detected or discovered, while defeating every attempt I made to reach help throughout the state.

Relayed: Miscommunication Yesterday in Court

Microsoft Outlook <postmaster@outlook.com>

Mon 9/23/2019 3:07 AM

To:elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov <elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov>;lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov <lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov>

1 attachments (17 KB)
 Miscommunication Yesterday in Court;

Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov (elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov)

lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov (lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov)

Subject: Miscommunication Yesterday in Court

They have both lied to me and acted abhorrently contemptuous, dishonestly, and dishonorable of the responsibilities they were entrusted with, by the people, along with the privileged offices they enjoyed. I know of no greater betrayal by local government employees than how they have **served themselves and their friends** through their powerful offices of trust, at the public's unwitting expense.

Unfortunately they enjoy enough local influence (connections/corruption/buddies), that the authorities won't even take a criminal complaint against them or investigate their heinous crimes, at least in my experience. Though I've yet to find anyone in Tennessee's judicial and law enforcement communities who act surprised when I tell them about the felony crimes committed against me by these privileged members of Tennessee's courts.

In my experience, I believe that Tennessee has a "don't ask, don't tell" culture and attitude about it's courts and specifically judicial misconduct. I believe this is also extended to tolerate and cover-up gross professional misconduct by attorneys who are close friends with local judges, willing to act in their favor, or who have access to judges which exceeds tolerances defined by the Tennessee Supreme Court's Rules of Conduct.

FACT: I can't find anybody, in any division of law enforcement, who shows an interest (or is honestly willing) to take a criminal report and investigate state and federal felony crimes committed by Middle Tennessee Judges, court clerks, and their buddies, regardless of their reputation, past criminal history, the disrepute of known associates, and the credence of the complaints. Even with an absurd amount of clear and convincing evidence, unless there is some disgraceful sexual component, causing the court tremendous embarrassment. It is as if every other "color of law" crime has now become tolerable, accepted, to be backed and even covered-up by other members of the court, and other courts, unless there is a paramount obscene sexual component which can not be hidden or denied.

I have found nothing but resistance and push-back to every honest effort I have made to promote transparency and accountability within the State of Tennessee's judiciary and their courts.

I believe this practice exhibits an intolerable level of **professional negligence** (by those who know the risks), while also being **fundamentally unconstitutional**. Still it remains a **fact** regardless. The current corruption and felony criminal misconduct which is **reasonably irrefutable in this case**, is also socially unsustainable, unjustifiable, and honestly uncorrectable without the judiciary's good faith, swift, and affirmative involvement in demanding, providing, and enforcing a reasonable remedy.

Jeff Fenton

From:	Jeff Fenton	
Sent:	Monday, September 23, 2019 3:08 AM	
То:	'elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov'	
Cc:	'lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov'	
Subject:	FW: Miscommunication Yesterday in Court (Ms. Story's Reply)	
	Lliab	
Importance:	High	

Ms. Beeler,

Please forward this email to Chancellor Binkley. If he doesn't have email, then please print this out and deliver it to him. I'm not sure how your communications work at the court house, but I read somewhere in the code about directly communicating with Judges, even in an ex parte capacity when needed. However, since Ms. Story is copied on this email, this should not be considered an ex parte communication.

I'd simply prefer that Chancellor Binkley have an opportunity to read my words as written by me, before Ms. Story has a chance to twist them into an even more horribly offensive lie again.

Thank you very much mam!

Jeff Fenton Docket: #48419B

From: Virginia Story <virginia@tnlaw.org>
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2019 3:36 PM
To: Jeff Fenton; Heidi Macy <Heidi@tnlaw.org>; Kathryn Yarbrough <kyarbrough@tnlaw.org>
Cc: elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov
Subject: RE: Miscommunication Yesterday

Mr. Fenton,

The transcript will reflect that we had no verification of a date that you sold the property and there was no prejudice to you whatsoever as you had just mentioned this in your multiple page pleadings that you filed on the morning of the hearing 8/29/19.

You are welcome and should provide proof of when you sold the TV and dehumidifier as this will be addressed at the final hearing.

The Judge made the decision that you will take personal clothing, your jewelry and toiletries/medication only. He went over that several times with you.

You were not able to complete certain tasks in order to have the house ready for the auctioneers and at this point we will just have to store the items that you tag that you would like.

Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK ECF No. 57-1, PageID.4456 Filed 07/15/24 Page 64 of 178

Remember whatever the storage fee is you will most likely have to pay out of your share of the proceeds so do not tag anything that you want the auctioneer to sale please. The more you sale the less you have to haul to Michigan. The proceeds from the sale of the real property and the proceeds from the sale of the furniture will be deposited into the clerk's office for save keeping.

Please note that our office is closed Monday for a holiday so we appreciate your not emailing after office hours which are 8 to 5 pm.

Thanks, Virginia



Virginia Lee Story Attorney at Law 136 Fourth Avenue South Franklin, TN 37064 (615) 790-1778 (615) 790-7468 fax Virginia@tnlaw.org

Note This e-mail contains PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL information intended only for the use of the specific individual or entity named above. If you or your employer is not the intended recipient of this e-mail, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination or copying of this e-mail or the information contained in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above at once by telephone. Thank you.

From: Jeff Fenton Sent: Friday, August 30, 2019 1:48 PM To: Virginia Story <<u>virginia@tnlaw.org</u>>; Heidi Macy <<u>Heidi@tnlaw.org</u>>; Kathryn Yarbrough <<u>kyarbrough@tnlaw.org</u>> Cc: elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov Subject: Miscommunication Yesterday

Hello Ms. Story,

I just had the Clerk's and Master's Office send me a copy of the court order from yesterday.

Apparently there was a miscommunication somehow, between when you spoke about the TV and the Dehumidifier, where Chancellor Binkley understood your comments about me selling them to have occurred during the Restraining Order Statutory Injunction, which is not at all correct. This was months before.

It looks like that is what upset the Chancellor and caused him to change his ruling to forbid me from taking any of my personal property with me when I move.

As I don't believe that was what you were alleging, and I know that isn't what happened, how do we get this cleared up, so that I can take my personal property with me, so that I can move to Michigan, as planned?

This seems to all be about a simple misunderstanding, more so that favoritism, as I thought. I just couldn't rationalize any other reason for such drastic changes in the order.

How do we fix this quickly so I can leave?

I've done nothing against the Statutory Injunction at all. If anything, a little bit of money could arguably be kept from my final proceeds.

Please advise, I want to get packing, but I legally can't.

Thanks.

Jeff Fenton METICULOUS.TECH

(615) 837-1300 Office (615) 837-1301 Mobile (615) 837-1302 Fax

Technical Consulting, Services, and Solutions, When it's worth doing RIGHT the first time!

Submit or respond to a support ticket here.

A Division of Meticulous Marketing LLC

Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK ECF No. 57-1, PageID.4458 Filed 07/15/24 Page 66 of 178

Relayed: FW: Miscommunication Yesterday in Court (Ms. Story's Reply)

Microsoft Outlook <postmaster@outlook.com>

Mon 9/23/2019 3:07 AM

To:elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov <elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov>;lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov <lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov>

1 attachments (17 KB)

FW: Miscommunication Yesterday in Court (Ms. Story's Reply);

Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov (elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov)

lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov (lisa.marsh@tncourts.gov)

Subject: FW: Miscommunication Yesterday in Court (Ms. Story's Reply)

Jeff Fenton

From:	Virginia Story <virginia@tnlaw.org></virginia@tnlaw.org>
Sent:	Friday, August 30, 2019 3:36 PM
То:	Jeff Fenton; Heidi Macy; Kathryn Yarbrough
Cc:	elaine.beeler@tncourts.gov
Subject:	RE: Miscommunication Yesterday

Mr. Fenton,

The transcript will reflect that we had no verification of a date that you sold the property and there was no prejudice to you whatsoever as you had just mentioned this in your multiple page pleadings that you filed on the morning of the hearing 8/29/19.

FALSE!

You are welcome and should provide proof of when you sold the TV and dehumidifier as this will be addressed at the final hearing.

The Judge made the decision that you will take personal clothing, your jewelry and toiletries/medication only. He went over that several times with you. FALSE!

You were not able to complete certain tasks in order to have the house ready for the auctioneers and at this point we will just have to store the items that you tag that you would like. STORY trying to MANIPULATE ME to SELL as much of MY STUFF as SHE CAN!

Remember whatever the storage fee is you will most likely have to pay out of your share of the proceeds so do not tag anything that you want the auctioneer to sale please. The more you sale the less you have to haul to Michigan. The proceeds from the sale of the real property and the proceeds from the sale of the furniture will be deposited into the clerk's office for save keeping.

Please note that our office is closed Monday for a holiday so we appreciate your not emailing after office hours which are 8 to 5 pm.

Thanks, Virginia



Virginia Lee Story Attorney at Law 136 Fourth Avenue South Franklin, TN 37064 (615) 790-1778 (615) 790-7468 fax Virginia@tnlaw.org

Note This e-mail contains PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL information intended only for the use of the specific individual or entity named above. If you or your employer is not the intended recipient of this e-mail, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination or copying of this e-mail or the information contained in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above at once by telephone. Thank you.

LOCAL RULES OF PRACTICE TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT HICKMAN, LEWIS, PERRY AND WILLIAMSON COUNTIES

RULES OF THE CIRCUIT AND CHANCERY COURTS FOR THE TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adopted Effective September 1, 2004 As Amended Through September 1, 2017 And Further Amended March 1, 2019

INTRODUCTION

JUDGES. The 21st Judicial District embraces Hickman, Lewis, Perry, and Williamson Counties. All Judges of the 21st Judicial District have full civil and criminal jurisdiction therein and are assigned areas of responsibility by the Presiding Judge.

CLERKS. Each county within the District has a Circuit Court Clerk and a Clerk and Master with powers and duties prescribed by statute for such offices generally. The Clerk and Master is also clerk of the Probate Division of the Chancery Court.

Rule 11. Orders and Judgments

Section 11.01 Preparation and Submission

Unless the court directs otherwise, attorneys for prevailing parties will prepare proposed orders for entry by the court and shall file such proposed orders not more than seven (7) days following the day on which the ruling is made by the court. If the proposed order submitted reflects that it has been approved for entry by counsel for all parties, then the court will take action promptly to enter such proposed order does not reflect that it has been approved for entry by counsel for all parties, then the court's own order with respect to the ruling. If the proposed order does not reflect that it has been approved for entry by counsel for all parties, then the court will take no action to enter such proposed order for seven (7) days after receipt of the proposed order to afford counsel for the opposing party to submit an alternative proposed order. If the opposing party submits an alternative proposed order, the court shall undertake promptly to enter either the original proposed order, the alternative proposed order, or the court's own order with respect to the ruling. All of the time periods in this section may, for good cause, be extended by the court.

A party's approval for entry of a proposed order, which does not by its express terms state that it is an agreed order, shall not be construed as anything other than the party's agreement that the proposed order accurately reflects the court's ruling on the particular matter and shall not be construed to imply that party's agreement with or consent to the ruling set out in the proposed order.

[Adopted Effective September 1, 2004; Amended Effective September 1, 2010; Further Amended December 1, 2014].

Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK ECF No. 57-1, PageID.4407 Filed 07/15/24 Page 15 of 178


)

))))

)

)

)



R.v3 (381-383)

2019 AUG 29 PH 2: 34

No. 48419B FILED FOR ENTRY 8-29-19

IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE AT FRANKLIN

FAWN FENTON, Plaintiff/Wife,

VS.

JEFFREY RYAN FENTON, Defendant/Husband.

ORDER FROM AUGUST 29, 2019 HEARING

EX PARTE ORDER OF PROTECTION EXTENDED PENDING FINAL HEARING, RESETTING MOTION FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER OF PROTECTION, WAIVING MEDIATION AND SETTING FINAL HEARING, ORDER TO VACATE AND ORDER ALLOWING WIFE TO SIGN ALL NECESSARY CONTRACTS TO COMPLETE THE SALE OF THE MARITAL HOME AND CLOSING

This matter came on to be heard on the 29th day of August, 2019 before the Honorable

Michael W. Binkley, Judge holding Court for the Chancery Court of Williamson County,

Tennessee, upon Wife's Motion for Violation of Ex Parte Order of Protection and for Date Certain

for Walk Through of House and Motion for Scheduling Order. It appearing to the Court based

upon arguments of counsel, statements of Husband representing himself Pro Se, and the record as

FYI... my opposing counsel (Virginia Story) WROTE

a whole that the following shall be the Order of this Court. this "Order". This does NOT match "the record as a whole". Please compare the 8/1 & 8/29 Transcripts!

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Husband was again

advised of the risks of proceeding Pro Se and that he is required to comply with the rules just as <u>No Choice</u>! Court Deprived Husband of ALL HIS Assets & Income! Deemed "uncollectible" once house was gone! an attorney is required. Husband acknowledged that he understood and wishes to proceed Pro Se.

The Motion for Violation of the Order of Protection will be continued pending further Orders of **The "OP" meant NOTHING but LEVERAGE! ALL they wanted was MY HOUSE and ME OUT of it!** the Court as Husband had filed a very lengthy response on the morning of the hearing being **The alleged "Order of Protection" was just used (and still is) for EXTORTION, to BIND and SILENCE me!** August 29, 2019. The Motion for Violation of the Order of Protection will be reset with the Final

Hearing in this cause set for October 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. The Motion for Scheduling Order and I understood the term "the Final Hearing in this cause" to be referring to the issues WE had DISCUSSED to date, to Waive Mediation in this cause is appropriate and the same is granted. the results of our Auction & "OP".

AT NO TIME did I understand this to involve the END of our DIVORCE, as we hadn't even BEGUN DISCOVERY yet, which I spent over an hour on the phone with my last counsel to learn how to navigate myself. (Call is recorded as proof!) PLUS Attorney Story had granted my Counsel an EXTENTION (which I have evidence of) on filing the "Divorce Answer and Counter Complaint", so that she could focus on her primary agenda, which was TAKING MY HOUSE! **SO much HORRIBLE FAITH**, dishonesty, deceit, bullying, legal trickery, discrimination, bias, all GAMES with NO regard for JUSTICE, that ALL PLEADINGS must do SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE, with NO RESPECT for ANY RULE OF LAW or my LIFE!

The Ex Parte Order of Protection shall remain in full force pending further hearing in this

cause set for October 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. The form "Order Extending Ex Parte/Temporary Order

of Protection" shall be executed and forwarded to the appropriate authorities.

× : × : × :

Husband signed the listing agreement for the martial home with the Auctioneer, FORCED TO SIGN BY JUDGE BINKLEY, UNDER THE THREAT OF INCARCERATION, without even READING IT! Mr. Tommy Anderson, on August 29, 2019. Wife shall be allowed to sign any further contracts Afterwards I NOTIFIED everyone, that I was FORCED to SIGN under DURESS. I Canceled the Listing: NULL & VOID! to effectuate the sale and closings of the property located at 1986 Sunnyside Drive, Franklin, TN YET Mr. Tommy Anderson said he was AUCTIONING MY HOME regardless! To do whatever I want! Unethical and illegal! 37069. Husband shall vacate the martial home on or before September 3, 2019 at 12:00 noon. The JUST FIVE-DAYS NOTICE!

Williamson County Sheriff's Office shall have a deputy on standby to ensure that Mr. Fenton is Actually 4-DEPUTIES with their hands on their GUNS, like I was a dangerous FELON! (NEVER arrested in my LIFE!) vacated and that he only takes with him his personal clothing, his jewelry and effects such as his

toiletries and medication. Mr. Fenton shall not remove any further furnishings or personal

property. Husband is admonished that he is under a Restraining Order pursuant to the Statutory

Injunction entered upon the filing of the Complaint for Divorce as of June 4, 2019. Mr. Fenton

filed a Notice with the Court to allow him to file pleadings Pro Se and in the pleadings filed with This is FALSIFYING COURT RECORDS, a FELONY in TN! I EMAILED Attorney Story the TRUTH the Night Before! the Court he acknowledged that he had sold a TV gifted to his Wife from her brother for \$1,000 To CORRECT her "misunderstanding", in hopes of avoiding MORE theatrical FRAUD UPON THE COURT, to DISRUPT! and that he had sold a commercial dehumidifier which was at the marital residence for \$2,500. SHE smiled at me, LIED ANYWAY, to enrage the Judge, then WROTE THE FRAUD directly into the COURT RECORDS! These amounts will be accounted for at the Final Hearing and any other property sold will also be The next day, I saw the Court Order, I called the Court to try to correct. Emailed Ms. Story, then she LIED to me AGAIN! addressed at the Final Hearing. No further property will be removed by Mr. Fenton and he shall FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY OFFICER(S) OF THE COURT - Binkley signed the INCORRECT/FALSIFIED Order! tag all items that he would like the Court to consider to be awarded to him. Any items that he does PURELY to FURTHER ABUSE me, "under color of law". That's when I lost ALL Respect for Ms. Story and her CRIMES! not wish to retain shall be sold at auction or Wife may retain. Pursuant to the Court Order, Wife ACTUALLY, according to the 8/1 Court Order, has tagged the items that she would request to be awarded when she conducted the walk through This was supposed to be completed by 8/11/2019, but WASN'T until 8/23/2019. Costing me a loss of thousands of dollars! pursuant to the Court Order from the August 1, 2019. (Order entered by Court on August 14, Because the Court had evicted my TENANTS, I had no money to MOVE, so the Court allowed me to SELL what was MINE. 2019.) The auction will take place pursuant to said Order of August 14, 2019 which is to be 45 But my Counsel strongly urged that I NOT SELL ANYTHING until AFTER the "10-Day Walk-through." Since it was done days from August 1, 2019 with all proceeds to be deposited into the Clerk's office. So LATE, I had no TIME to SELL anything that was MINE, to fund my MOVE. When I returned, much had been STOLEN!

So LATE, I had no TIME to SELL anything that was MINE, to fund my MOVE. When I returned, much had been STOLEN! "Court Orders" (and LAWS in general) were only WEAPONS they used against ME. Ms. Story showed NO CARE for either.

R.v3 (381-383)

All other matters are reserved pending further Orders of this Court.

ENTERED on this a day of /

2

MICHAEL W. BINKLEY, JUDGE

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

VIRGINIA LEE STORY; BPR #11700 Attorney for Plaintiff/Wife 136 Fourth Avenue South Franklin, TN 37064 (615) 790-1778 virginia@tnlaw.org Michael W. Binkley Circuit Court Judge/Chancellor 21st Judicial District, Division III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing was sent courtesy copy to Mr. Jeffrey Fenton, Defendant Pro Se, at 1986 Sunnyside Drive, Brentwood, TN 37027 on this <u>29</u>th day of August, 2019.

VIRGINIA LEE STOP

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing was sent courtesy copy to Mr. Jeffrey Fenton, Defendant Pro Se, at 1986 Sunnyside Drive, Brentwood, TN 37027, and to Virginia Lee Story, Attorney for Wife, at their respective addresses, on this 2 day of ______, 2019.

