APPENDIX 2

Page 117 of 137

The following is a non-exhaustive list of lies told by defendant Story during Plaintiff's matter in the Chancery Court, which are also crimes according to 18 U.S. Code § 157(3) "false or fraudulent representation[s], claim[s], or promise[s]":²⁰²

- It is "already too far along in the bankruptcy process" to save the home, which is not only nonsensical, but contradicts the 180 days the bankruptcy court allegedly gave on April 26, 2019, to sell the home, therefore providing 83 days to salvage the home. (stated August 1, 2019)
- Plaintiff "lost" his job, when he actually resigned. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "[Ms. Fenton] is the owner of the property," when Plaintiff also owned it. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "Mr. Fenton would transfer balances from his credit cards to a credit card in her name," when the reverse was actually true. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "Obviously he cannot bind a new owner to comply with this lease, so that is a voidable contract." This is not true according the severability clause in it and according to law, which requires a new owner to honor an existing lease except in the case when the new owner or a close relative will occupy the premises. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "He's known since March of last year that the house was going on the market, and he signed the lease in April of this year." She made this comment with no evidence whatsoever. Plaintiff only learned of the house sale/auction on August 1, 2019. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "The bankruptcy was filed April. He knew this was coming down the pike." No, Plaintiff didn't. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "Mrs. Fenton filed for divorce back in '18." She filed June 4, 2019. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "It's been unbelievably difficult just dealing with Mr. Fenton to even get him served." Plaintiff was served June 15, 2019, *a mere 11 days* after filing. Service often takes weeks or months. She served Plaintiff multiple times *after she knew he had been served* in an attempt to bolster this false claim. (stated August 1, 2019)
- "[Plaintiff] does not want to contest the divorce." (stated in a fraudulent affidavit she filed in the Chancery Court October 21, 2019).

"[Plaintiff] does not wish to communicate with Virginia Story." This, however, is one of the few true statements defendant Story has made. Plaintiff has no affinity for criminal actors within the U.S. legal system.

²⁰² Defendant Story fits many of the criteria for being a psychopath. See, for example, www.psychologytoday.com/u:/blog/so-sue-me:/201408/are-lawyers-all-raging-psychopaths